



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/756,185	01/09/2001	Marina Ziche	ZICHEI	5690	
75	590 04/20/2004		EXAM	INER	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			MITRA, RITA		
624 Ninth Stree Washington, D			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
washington, D	20001		1653		
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/756,185	ZICHE ET AL.			
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Rita Mitra	1653			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
HE REPLY FILED 17 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a nall rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	!		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	S.		
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	idered but does NO	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a) will not be entered or by ould be rejected is provided below)⊠ will be entered a w or appended.	and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Claim(s) allowed:	·				
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>3,4, 6,10-25</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>	el-1.		
10. Other:		Charlyphol S Christopher S. F. Lov Pervisory Patent Exam Technology Center 16			

Application/Control Number: 09/756,185

Art Unit: 1653

Continuation of 5:

In regard to the rejection of claims 3, 6, 11, 12, 19, 20, 23-25 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Borrelli F. [In previous office actions inventor Martelli F. was cited who is a co-inventor and Borrelli F. is the first inventor, please note the correction] (WO 97/39765, October 30, 1997, Reference AB of IDS) taken with Folkman J. ("Clinical application of research on angiogenesis", Seminars in medicine of the Beth Israel Hospital, vol 333, No. 26, 1995, Reference AC of IDS). Borrelli discloses a pharmaceutical composition comprising component B as active ingredient, together with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier (see claim 3 and also page 13). Borrelli also teaches a method of treatment of wounds, ulcers and other traumatic lesions to any of the tissues in the body, comprising administering an effective amount of Component B, together with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier (see claim 4 and pages 1-2). This addresses claims 3, 11, 12 and 20 of the instant application. In view of the fact that the reference teaches both composition and method of treatment using Component B, it would have been obvious to and motivated one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the teachings with those of, Folkman J.

Applicants argue that Borrelli does not teach or suggest that component B can be used as an angiogenic agent, nor does it teach or suggest that use of component B together with human growth factors for causing angiogenesis. Arguments are not persuasive because it is well established that angiogenesis is a critical factor in wound healing, and Borrelli discloses a method of treatment of wounds, ulcers and other traumatic lesions to the tissues comprising administering an effective amount of component B. The angiogenesis property is inherent in component B, and since Borrelli teaches the method of treatment of wounds it is obvious that component B has angiogenic property.

Further Applicants urge that with respect to claim 20 Borrelli teaches the use of component B as anti-inflammatory, anticoagulant, antitumorgenic and cicatrizant for the treatment of wounds, ulcer and other traumatic lesion of the body but there is no teaching or suggestion that component B has angiogenic property. In response it should be noted that tumor growth and metastasis depend on angiogenesis and that a chemical signal from tumor cells can shift to resting endothelial cells into a phase of rapid growth (see Folkman, page 1757, col 1).

Application/Control Number: 09/756,185

Art Unit: 1653

Since component B is antitumorgenic it may have a role of angiogenesis in cancer. Further Applicants urge that there is no suggestion in Folkman reference that the use of either of bFGF and vEGF will provide synergistic angeogenic effects if combined with component B. However, Folkman reference does not teach a composition that comprises Component B as claimed in claims 3. In view of the fact that Borrelli reference teaches Component B in a composition, it would have been obvious to and motivated one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined Borrelli's Component B with Folkman's angiogenic protein to give synergistic results as claimed in claims 19, 23, 24 and 25. Therefore, claims 3, 6, 11, 12, 19, 20, 23-25 are obvious over Borrelli taken with Folkman.

Rita Mitra, Ph. D.

April 18, 2004